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## WISCONSIN HIGHER EDUCATION GRANT (WHEG) Introduction

HEAB administers three WHEG programs; Wisconsin Tribal Colleges, University of Wisconsin System, and Wisconsin Technical College System. The general structure for the WHEG formulas is outlined in the State Statutes. The Higher Educational Aids Board reviews and approves the formulas for the upcoming school year at its February meeting.

There are three components in the formula.

## (Student Budget - Expected Family Contribution) x Student Award Percentage = Award

Student Budget: The cost of education for the student. It can include tuition, room, board, and other expenses. It is different for all three WHEG programs. However, within each program, the student budget is the same for all students. The Student Budgets are set by the HEAB board as part of the formula approval process, and with recommendation by the Executive Secretary.
Expected Family Contribution (EFC): Amount that student or student's family is able to contribute. The EFC is calculated by the Federal Government when the student applies for Financial Aid using the FAFSA*. It is an individually calculated amount based on the financial information provided by each student during the application process.
Student Award Percentage: Percent of student budget used to calculate individual financial aid awards. It is also used to determine the maximum grant that can be awarded to any student. It is different for all three WHEG programs. However, within each program, the Student Award Percentage is the same for all students. The Student Award Percentage is set by the HEAB board as part of the formula approval process, and with recommendation by the Executive Secretary.

To calculate individual financial aid awards:
(Student Budget - Expected Family Contribution) x Student Award Percentage = Award
To calculate the maximum award in any of the WHEG programs:

> Student Budget x Student Award Percentage = Maximum Award

[^0]
## Factors That Affect the WHEG Programs

Appropriation: There are separate appropriations for each of the WHEG programs. These are determined during the state's biennial budget process.

Applicants: An increased number of applicants results in an increased number of students eligible for grants. There has been a significant increase in the number of students applying for grants. Additionally, fewer students in the past year have been leaving school or failing to show. This has caused grant monies to be spent earlier, with fewer refunds back to HEAB for redistribution.

Changing the Student Budget: If increased, the grant awarded to each student would increase, and the number of student recipients would decrease, due to funding limitations. The number of recipients would increase if additional funding was available to support the increase in eligibility.

Changing the Student Award Percentage: If increased, the amount awarded to each student would increase and the number of student recipients would decrease due to funding limitations. The number of recipients would increase if additional funding was available to support the increase in eligibility.

## WISCONSIN HIGHER EDUCATION GRANT - TRIBAL COLLEGES

Recommendation of the Executive Secretary for the 2004-2005 Formula
$(\$ 6,650-E F C) \times 27.44 \%=$ Award
(Student Budget - Expected Family Contribution) x Student Award Percentage = Award Maximum Award: \$1,800
This reflects no change from the current year's formula.

## Formula Elements

Recommendation of the Tribal College Presidents: The formula recommendations from Schuyler Houser, President, Lac Courte Oreilles Ojibwa Community College, and Verna Fowler, Ph.D., President, College of Menominee Nation are the same as that of the Executive Secretary. (See attached letters)

## Current Formula:

( $\$ 6,650$ - EFC) x $27.44 \%=$ Award
(Student Budget - Expected Family Contribution) x Student Award Percentage = Award Maximum Award: \$1,800

Applicants: The number of 2004-05 Tribal College applicants may increase slightly.

## 2004-2005 Appropriation

$\$ 404,000$ : No increase from current year.
Tuition Increase: Tuition at both Tribal Colleges will either remain the same or increase slightly in 2004-2005.

Current Year Spending: Most recent data indicates that the Tribal WHEG 2003-04 formula will spend the appropriated amount for the academic year and may have students left on a wait list.
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February 19, 2004

State of Wisconsin Higher Education Board Attn: Ms. Connie Hutchinson P.O. Box 7885

Madison, WI 53707-7885

Dear Ms. Hutchinson:
Thank you for your e-mail requesting our input on the formula for the 2004-2005 State Higher Education Grant. After conferring with my Financial Aid Director and Dean of Student Services, we at the College of Menominee Nation believe that it is best to leave the formula the same for the coming academic year. This recommendation is based on the current financial situation of the state. Since we see an increase as unlikely, we certainly do not want a decrease.

Again, thank you for the opportunity. If you have any questions, please let us know.

Respectfully.

S. Verna Fowler, Ph.D., President
cc: CMN Dean of Students
CMN F. Aid Director


## Dear Ms. Hutchison:

Thank you for the opportunity to make a recommendation to the HEAB Board relative to the formula grant for Tribal WHEG. I have spoken to our financial aid staff and we recommend that we remain with the current formula.

Since we began administering what is now known as Tribal WHEG funds, we have been able to serve rote Native American and non Native American students. The current level of appropriations is assisting approximately $50 \%$ of our eligible student body. Waiting lists, realize, are a part of the financial aid award processes but any increase in this budget line item would be greatly appreciated by our eligible students.

We have also peen administering the institutional scholarships for the Talent Incentive Program (TIP) for a number of years with slight increases from year to year. For this year, we have approximately twenty applications on file for students that who are eligible for this grant. Any help in increasing this allocation would also be greatly appreciated.

Again, thank you for this opportunity and look forward to your response and meeting with you in person.

Schuyler Mouser, President
Lac Court e Oreilles Ojibwa Community College
Cc: Financial Aid Office

## WISCONSIN HIGHER EDUCATION GRANT

## University of Wisconsin System

## Recommendation of the Executive Secretary for the 2004-2005 Formula

( $\$ 4,554-$ EFC) x $43 \%=$ Award
(Student Budget - Expected Family Contribution) x Student Award Percentage = Award Maximum Award: \$1,958

This includes an increase in the Student Budget from $\$ 3,854$ to $\$ 4,554$, reflecting an increase in tuition.
The Student Award Percentage has been decreased from $50 \%$ to $43 \%$, reflecting the need to provide grants to a greater number of students.

## Other Factors Considered

Recommendation of the University of Wisconsin System President: The formula recommendation from Katherine Lyall is the same as that of the Executive Secretary. (See attached letter)

## Appropriation (A carry-back of $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 2}$ million is included in the 03-04 figure, and subtracted from the 04-05 figure)

2003-2004: \$29,399,600
2004-2005: \$32,759,600
Carry-back of 04-05 Funds to the Current Year: In October it became apparent that there would not be enough funds, under the current formula, to meet the needs of the students qualifying for grants. At that time, in consultation with the UW-Systems Advisory Group, it was decided to carry back funds from the $2^{\text {nd }}$ year of the appropriation. In December, it was determined that we would limit the carry back to about $\$ 2.2$ million. There is still an increase in the $04-05$ appropriation. However, the carry-back was taken into account during the formula development.

## Current Formula:

( $\$ 3,854-$ EFC) x $50 \%=$ Award
(Student Budget - Expected Family Contribution) x Student Award Percentage $=$ Award Maximum Award: \$1,927

Current Year Spending: All of the 2003-2004 funds will be appropriated, including the $\$ 2.2$ million carry-back. Additional awards or changes to awards were frozen in late January, 2004.

Applicants: The numbers of 2004-2005 applicants will most likely increase.
Simulations: The simulations used during formula deliberations are included for review.

## Office of the President

1720 Van riso Hall 1220 linden Drivo
Madison, Wisconsin 53706-1559
(608) 262-2321
(603) 262-3985 Fax
email: klyallduwso.cdu
weblesito: http://www.uwsa.edu
February 24, 2004

Connie Hutchison, Exccutive Sccretary
Wisconsin Iligher EJucational Aids Board
131 West Wilson Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53707

Dear Fxecutive Secretary Hutchison:
Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Wisconsin Higher Education Grant (WIIE(') formula. UW System's WIIEG Advisory committee met to discuss the current WHEG formula and to forward a recommendation for the 2004-05 funding formula for my approval. Based on the Commiltee's advice, I recommend the formula for 2004-05 continue to tie the equity level to UW-Madison's tuition for the previous year, increasing the equity level from $\$ 3854$ to $\$ 4554$, and a minimum rationing factor of $43 \%$. If additional financial aid dollars become avaitable in the program, the rationing factor should be increased resulting in larger awards to the most financially needy students. This would rcaffirm UW System's and the Board of Regents' policy of kecping GPR financial aid increases commensurate with tuition increases.

Thank you for your consideration of this recommendation. I look forward to hearing your fimal decision following the meeting of the Higher Educational Aids Board on Pebruary 27, 2004.


|  | 0304 Data 11/25/03 |  | 0405 SIM 1 |  | 0405 SIM 2 |  | 0405 SIM 3 |  | 0405 SIM 4 |  | 0405 SIM 5 |  | 0405 SIM 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APPROPRIATION: | $\begin{array}{lr} \$ & 29,399,600 \\ \text { (incl } \$ 2,200,000 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{b} \text { ) } \end{array}$ |  | 0405 Appropriation (excluding anticipated carryback of \$2,200,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 32,759,600 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0304 |  | ATA THRU 11/2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FORMULA: | (\$3854-EFC) |  | (\$3854-EFC) |  | (\$4554-EFC) |  | (\$4554-EFC) |  | (\$4554-EFC) |  | (\$4294-EFC) |  | (\$4294-EFC) |  |
|  |  | = Award |  | x 50\% = Award |  | x 50\% = Award |  | x 47\% = Award |  | x 44\% = Award |  | x 50\% = Award |  | \% Award |
|  | Max Award = \$1927 |  | Max Award = \$1927 |  | Max Award $=\$ 2277$ |  | Max Award = \$2140 |  | Max Award = \$2003 |  | Max Award = \$2147 |  | Max Award = \$1975 |  |
| DEPENDENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| APPLICANTS |  | 59,738 |  | 59,738 |  | 59,738 |  | 59,738 |  | 59,738 |  | 59,738 |  | 59,738 |
| \% OF APPLICANTS |  | 77.95\% |  | 77.95\% |  | 77.95\% |  | 77.95\% |  | 77.95\% |  | 77.95\% |  | 77.95\% |
| AWARDS |  | 15,170 |  | 15,170 |  | 17,689 |  | 17,545 |  | 17,411 |  | 16,697 |  | 16,549 |
| \% OF AWARDS |  | 57.50\% |  | 57.50\% |  | 59.94\% |  | 59.80\% |  | 59.66\% |  | 59.01\% |  | 58.89\% |
| \% ELIGIBLE |  | 25.39\% |  | 25.39\% |  | 29.61\% |  | 29.37\% |  | 29.15\% |  | 27.95\% |  | 27.70\% |
| AVERAGE \$'s | \$ | 1,286 | \$ | 1,286 | \$ | 1,462 | \$ | 1,383 | \$ | 1,303 | \$ | 1,400 | \$ | 1,298 |
| \$'s COMMITTED | \$ | 19,501,234 | \$ | 19,501,234 | \$ | 25,863,437 | \$ | 24,272,168 | \$ | 22,689,234 | \$ | 23,383,552 |  | 21,473,673 |
|  |  | 52.75\% |  | 52.75\% |  | 54.48\% |  | 54.45\% |  | 54.42\% |  | 53.84\% | \$ | 53.81\% |
| INDEPENDENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| APPLICANTS |  | 16,895 |  | 16,895 |  | 16,895 |  | 16,895 |  | 16,895 |  | 16,895 |  | 16,895 |
| \% OF APPLICANTS |  | 22.05\% |  | 22.05\% |  | 22.05\% |  | 22.05\% |  | 22.05\% |  | 22.05\% |  | 22.05\% |
| AWARDS |  | 11,213 |  | 11,213 |  | 11,822 |  | 11,795 |  | 11,773 |  | 11,599 |  | 11,554 |
| \% OF AWARDS |  | 42.50\% |  | 42.50\% |  | 40.06\% |  | 40.20\% |  | 40.34\% |  | 40.99\% |  | 41.11\% |
| \% ELIGIBLE |  | 66.37\% |  | 66.37\% |  | 69.97\% |  | 69.81\% |  | 69.68\% |  | 68.65\% |  | 68.39\% |
| AVERAGE \$'s | \$ | 1,558 | \$ | 1,558 | \$ | 1,828 | \$ | 1,722 | \$ | 1,614 | \$ | 1,728 | \$ | 1,595 |
| \$'s COMMITTED | \$ | 17,468,604 | \$ | 17,468,604 | \$ | 21,612,598 | \$ | 20,305,767 | \$ | 19,001,978 | \$ | 20,046,502 | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,429,325 \\ 46.19 \% \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 47.25\% |  | 47.25\% |  | 45.52\% |  | 45.55\% |  | 45.58\% |  | 46.16\% |  |  |
| TOTALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| APPLICANTS |  | 76,633 |  | 76,633 |  | 76,633 |  | 76,633 |  | 76,633 |  | 76,633 |  | 76,633 |
| AWARDS |  | 26,383 |  | 26,383 |  | 29,511 |  | 29,340 |  | 29,184 |  | 28,296 |  | 28,103 |
| \% ELIGIBLE |  | 34.43\% |  | 34.43\% |  | 38.51\% |  | 38.29\% |  | 38.08\% |  | 36.92\% |  | 36.67\% |
| AVERAGE \$'s | \$ | 1,401 | \$ | 1,401 | \$ | 1,609 | \$ | 1,519 | \$ | 1,429 | \$ | 1,535 | \$ | 1,420 |
| \$'s COMMITTED | \$ | 36,969,838 | \$ | 36,969,838 | \$ | 47,476,034 | \$ | 44,577,934 | \$ | 41,691,212 | \$ | 43,430,053 | \$ | 39,902,998 |
|  |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |
| COMMITTED |  | 125.75\% |  | 112.85\% |  | 144.92\% |  | 136.08\% |  | 127.26\% |  | 132.57\% |  | 121.81\% |

Sim 1: Baseline simulation using the 0304 applicant pool with the 0304 formula
Sim 2: Increase student budget by $18.16 \%$ (equivalent to 0304 tuition increase, excluding segregated fees) and use a $50 \%$ formula multiplier
Sim 3: Same as Sim 2, except use a $47 \%$ formula multiplier
Sim 4: Same as Sim 2, except use a $44 \%$ formula multiplier
Sim 5: Increase student budget by $11.43 \%$ (equivalent to 0304 net appropriation increase) and use a $50 \%$ formula multiplier
Sim 6: Same as Sim 4, except use a $46 \%$ formula multiplier

# WISCONSIN HIGHER EDUCATION GRANT WISCONSIN TECHNICAL COLLEGE SYSTEM 

The recommendation for the 2004-05 WHEG-WTC formula is pending at this time.
No action was taken.

## WISCONSIN TUITION GRANT

Introduction

HEAB administers the Wisconsin Tuition Grant which provides grants to students attending Wisconsin private, non-profit colleges or universities. Similar to the WHEG programs, grants are awarded based on the economic needs of students. Grant recipients apply through the Federal Department of Education using the FAFSA. The Higher Educational Aids Board reviews and approves the Tuition Grant formula for the upcoming school year at its February meeting.

The structure of the WTG formula is outlined in the State Statutes. There are six steps in the formula, and awards are differentiated in the following manner: dependent students; single or married independent students without children; and single or married independent students with children. The current formula is included for review. (See Table1)

## Formula Elements

Recommendation of Wisconsin Association of Independent Colleges and Universities (WAICU) President: The recommendation of Rolf Wegenke, Ph.D., President of WIACU is the same as that of the Executive Secretary (see attached letter). The proposed formula is illustrated in Table 2 of this document.

Appropriation: There is no increase in funds for 2004-2005.
2004-2005: \$22,103,700
2003-2004: \$22,103,700
Current Year Spending: All of the 2003-2004 funds will be appropriated. Awards were frozen in August, 2003. No new awards have been processed since that time. There may be a small carry-back of 2004-2005 funds to cover the 2003-2004 awards; up to $\$ 100,000$. This carry-back was considered during the formula development process.

Applicants: There has been an increase in enrollment within the WAICU schools. It is anticipated that enrollment will continue to increase.

Tuition Increase: Tuition is expected to slightly increase.
Inflation Factor: The Inflation Factor is found in step four, and is increased in the proposed formula.

Simulations: The simulations used during formula deliberations are included for review.

Cardinal Stritch University
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LAWRENCE UNIVERSITY
Marian College
Marquette University
Milwaukee institute of Art \& Design Milwaukee School of Engineering
mount Mary College

February 23, 2004

## Ms. Connie Hutchison, Executive Secretary <br> Higher Educational Aids Board <br> 131 W. Wilson Street, Suite 902 <br> Madison, WI 53702 <br> 

As required by state statute, I am transmitting to you WAICU's recommendation for the Wisconsin Tuition Grant (WTG) formula for the 2004-2005 academic year. I understand that the Higher Educational Aids Board will consider this recommendation at its meeting on February 27th. In developing this year's recommendation, WAICU remains committed to the principles that the WTG should contribute a meaningful portion of a student's overall financial aid package and that the neediest students should receive the greatest share of benefits under the program. It is the unanimous position of the WAICU Board (the presidents) that, over time, the grant maximum and the value of the average grant must increase.

This being the second year of the biennium and a time of fiscal uncertainty, WAICU's recommendation recognizes the practicalities of current circumstances. It is therefore my recommendation that HEAB update the formula to reflect the factors in "SIM C" on the enclosed spreadsheet. This simulation best addresses the special circumstances confronted by the WTG program in the second year of this biennium, including the unknown amount of the "carryback" from 2004-2005 needed to cover any overcommitment in the program year 2003-2004, and the possibility that the state may reduce the 2004-2005 appropriation to address the budget deficit. In addition, this simulation provides the best option, under the circumstances, to "hold harmless" needy students already enrolled who have been granted significant awards under the program.

I want to thank you again for meeting with me and the WAICU Financial Aid Directors to discuss the WTG formula for 2004-2005. Should you have questions or concerns regarding the WAICU recommendations, please contact either me or Mr. Paul Nelson, WAICU Vice President for Public Policy.


Rolf Wegenke, Ph.D.
President

## Enclosure

c: WAICU Presidents
WAICU Financial Aid Directors


Base sim: 02-03 Applicants, 03-04 Formula
Base Sim 2: 08/26/03 data and formula - just before w codes were put on.
Sim A: 03-04 Applicants, $20.17 \%$ increase of inflation factors, increase in school tuitions by $5.5 \%$ or actual and $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 8 \%}$ increase in UW Madison Tuition.
Sim B: 03-04 Applicants, $20.17 \%$ increase of inflation factors, increase in school tuitions by $5.5 \%$ or actual and $\mathbf{1 6 . 0 8 \%}$ increase in UW Madison Tuition. Increase award to $\$ 2,600$.
Sim C: 16.08 UW tuition increase minus 5.5 increase plus percentage to cover potential carry back from 04-05

## Wisconsin Tuition Grant - 2003-2004 Formula

 Table 1|  |  | DEPENDENT | MARRIED INDEPENDENT w/O CHILDREN | SINGLE OR MARRIED INDEPENDEN W/ CHILDREN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP ONE |  |  |  |  |
|  | TUITION (insert actual tuition charged) | \$7,000 | \$7,000 | \$7,000 |
|  | - UW MADISON TUITION ${ }^{\dagger}$ | \$4,426 | \$4,426 | \$4,426 |
|  | NET TUITION | \$2,574 | \$2,574 | \$2,574 |
| STEP TWO |  |  |  |  |
|  | TUITION <br> (insert actual tuition charged) | \$7,000 | \$7,000 | \$7,000 |
|  | + BASE MAINTENANCE ${ }^{\ddagger}$ | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$10,444 |
|  | TOTAL COST | \$13,245 | \$13,245 | \$17,444 |

STEP
THREE

| NET TUITION | $\$ 2,574$ | $\$ 2,574$ | $\$ 2,574$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIVIDED BY TOTAL COST | $\$ 13,245$ | $\$ 13,245$ | $\$ 17,444$ |
| FAMILY CONTRIBUTION | $19.43 \%$ | $19.43 \%$ | $14.76 \%$ |

PERCENTAGE
STEP FOUR
EXPECTED FAMILY \$100 \$100 \$100

CONTRIBUTION
(Insert actual $\mathrm{EFC}^{\text {§ }}$ )

| X INFLATION FACTOR $^{* * *}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 9 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ADJUSTED FAMILY | $\$ 235$ | $\$ 628$ | $\$ 759$ |

CONTRIBUTION
STEP FIVE

|  | ADJUSTED FAMILY <br> CONTRIBUTION | $\$ 235$ | $\$ 628$ | $\$ 759$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| X FAMILY CONTRIBUTION <br> STEP SIX | $19.43 \%$ | $19.43 \%$ | $14.76 \%$ |  |
| PERCENTAGE | $\$ 46$ | $\$ 122$ | $\$ 112$ |  |
|  | TUITION OFFSET | $\$ 2,574$ | $\$ 2,574$ | $\$ 2,574$ |
|  | NET TUITION | $\$ 46$ | $\$ 122$ | $\$ 112$ |
|  | -TUITION OFFSET | $\$ 2,528$ | $\$ 2,452$ | $\$ 2,462$ |
|  | GRANT AMOUNT | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 5 0 0}$ |

[^1]
## WISCONSIN TUITION GRANT

## Recommendation of the Executive Secretary for the 2004-2005 Formula

 Table 2|  |  | DEPENDENT | SINGLE OR MARRIED INDEPENDENT w/O CHILDREN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STEP ONE |  |  |  |  |
|  | TUITION (insert actual tuition charged) | \$7,000 | \$7,000 | \$7,000 |
|  | - UW MADISON TUITION ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ | \$5,138 | \$5,138 | \$5,138 |
|  | NET TUITION | \$1,862 | \$1,862 | \$1,862 |
| STEP TWO |  |  |  |  |
|  | TUITION (insert actual tuition charged) | \$7,000 | \$7,000 | \$7,000 |
|  | + BASE MAINTENANCE ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$10,444 |
|  | TOTAL COST | \$13,245 | \$13,245 | \$17,444 |
| STEPTHREE |  |  |  |  |
|  | NET TUITION | \$1,862 | \$1,862 | \$1,862 |
|  | DIVIDED BY TOTAL COST | \$13,245 | \$13,245 | \$17,444 |
|  | FAMILY CONTRIBUTION | 14.06\% | 14.06\% | 10.67\% |
|  | PERCENTAGE |  |  |  |
| STEP FOUR |  |  |  |  |
|  | EXPECTED FAMILY | \$100 | \$100 | \$100 |
|  | CONTRIBUTION <br> (Insert actual EFC) ${ }^{\text {§§ }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | X INFLATION FACTOR ${ }^{* * *}$ | 264\% | 706\% | 853\% |
|  | ADJUSTED FAMILY | \$264 | \$706 | \$853 |
|  | CONTRIBUTION |  |  |  |
| STEP FIVE |  |  |  |  |
|  | ADJUSTED FAMILY | \$264 | \$706 | \$853 |
|  | CONTRIBUTION |  |  |  |
|  | X FAMILY CONTRIBUTION | 14.06\% | 14.06\% | 10.67\% |
|  | PERCENTAGE |  |  |  |
|  | TUITION OFFSET | \$37 | \$99 | \$91 |
| STEP SIX |  |  |  |  |
|  | NET TUITION | \$1,862 | \$1,862 | \$1,862 |
|  | - TUITION OFFSET | \$ 37 | \$ 99 | \$ 91 |
|  | GRANT AMOUNT | \$1,825 | \$1,763 | \$1,771 |
|  | MAXIMUM GRANT | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 |

[^2]
[^0]:    *Students apply for financial aid on-line through the Federal Government's Webpage or complete a paper copy. A copy of this application, called the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA), can be found at www.fafsa.ed.gov.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Previous year tuition at UW - Madison
    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Base Maintenance is similar to the Student Budget in WHEG formulas. This number can be manipulated during the formula approval process.
    ${ }^{8}$ EFC is the individual student data retrieved from the FAFSA.
    **Inflation Factor is similar to the Student Award Percentage in the WHEG formulas. This number can be manipulated during the formula approval process.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Previous year tuition at UW - Madison

    * Base Maintenance is similar to the Student Budget in WHEG formulas. This number can be manipulated during the formula approval process.
    ${ }^{88}$ EFC is the individual student data retrieved from the FAFSA.
    ${ }^{* * *}$ Inflation Factor is similar to the Student Award Percentage in the WHEG formulas. This number can be manipulated during the formula approval process.

