## HIGHER EDUCATIONAL AIDS BOARD

BOARD REPORT \#03-17

## 2003-04 WISCONSIN HIGHER EDUCATION GRANT AND WISCONSIN TUITION GRANT PROGRAM FORMULAS

## WISCONSIN HIGHER EDUCATION GRANT

## Introduction

I have included below the 2002-03 WHEG formulas for both the University of Wisconsin System and the Wisconsin Technical College System to be used as a reference. The general structure of the WHEG formula is outlined in the State Statutes. The components within may be adjusted to incorporate changes in the cost of education, the Federal Methodology that determines the Expected Family Contribution, the number of applicants which relate to the number of students who enroll, and the available funding.

If the Student Budget is increased, the amount awarded to each student would increase and the number of students eligible would decrease. The number of recipients would also increase if additional funding would be available to support the increase in eligibility.

If the Student Award Percentage is increased, the amount awarded each student would increase and the number of students eligible would decrease. The number of recipients would also increase if additional funding would be available to support the increase in eligibility.

2002-03
WISCONSIN HIGHER EDUCATION GRANT UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN SYSTEM

The following formula was implemented in 2002-03 for both dependent and independent students.

```
Student Budget
Minus
X Student Award Percentage
=
```

```
$3,568
Expected Family Contribution
47%
Award
```


## MAXIMUM AWARD IS \$1,677

2002-03
WISCONSIN HIGHER EDUCATION GRANT WISCONSIN TECHNICAL COLLEGE SYSTEM

The following formula was implemented in 2002-03 for both dependent and independent students.

```
Student Budget
Minus
X Student Award Percentage
=
```

```
$7,000
Expected Family Contribution
15%
Award
```

MAXIMUM AWARD IS $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 5 0}$

## Formula Elements Known at This Time

Applicants: The number of 2003-04 UW System applicants is projected to increase. Enrollment within the WTC System schools may continue to increase dramatically.

Federal Methodology (which determines the Expected Family Contributions): There will not be any substantial changes in the formulas for 2003-04.

Appropriation: The 2003-04 appropriation for the UW WHEG Program per statutory language is tied to the previous year's UW tuition increase. Administration is currently interpreting the tuition increase to be used for this purpose as $8 \%$.

The 2003-04 WTC WHEG Program appropriation is unknown at this time. Since 2003-04 is the first year of the biennial period, a final appropriation amount may not be known until some time after July 1, 2003. The governor will introduce his budget on February $18^{\text {th }}$. It will then go to the legislature for review and potential changes. Therefore, one of several scenarios may occur for 2003-04.

First Scenario:
Funding would increase per current statutory language based on last year's tuition increase.
UW WHEG $\$ 23,586,800$ ( $8 \%$ increase over 2002-03)
Funding would remain level funded.
WTC WHEG $\$ 14,874,000(0 \%$ increase over 2002-03)

Second Scenario:
Funding would increase per current statutory language per last year's tuition increase.
UW WHEG $\$ 23,586,800(8 \%$ increase over 2002-03)
Funding would increase per last year's UW tuition increase.
WTC WHEG
\$16,063,900 (8\% increase over 2002-03)
Third Scenario:
Funding would increase based on additional substantial tuition increases for 2003-04.
Fourth Scenario:
Funding would increase based on maintaining the same level of funding as the past.
UW WHEG Additional \$936,900 (4.29\% increase over 2002-03)
WTC WHEG Additional \$638,100 (4.29\% increase over 2002-03)
Fifth Scenario:
Funding would increase based on meeting increasing need due to the increase of needy applicants.
UW WHEG Additional \$873,600 (4\% increase over 2002-03)
WTC WHEG Additional \$2,974,800 (20\% increase over 2002-03)
Given the financial constraints the State of Wisconsin currently has, there of course could be other scenarios where funding would drop below the level appropriated in 2002-03. It is unknown at this time if this will occur.

Tuition Increase: The UW System tuition is estimated to increase $10 \%$ or more in 2003-04. The WTC System Board 2003-04 tuition percentage increase is unknown at this time.

## Points to Consider in Setting the WHEG Formula

Most recent data indicates that the UW System WHEG will come close to spending the appropriated amount for the academic year. It currently appears that the WTC System Board WHEG 2002-03 formulas will overspend the appropriated amount for the academic year due to a dramatic increase in enrollment to the point where students were wait listed.

The 2003-04 WHEG Formulas
With the preceding information in mind, I put forth the following.

UW WHEG

1. If the funding for the 2003-04 UW WHEG Program is increased by the percentage of last year's tuition increase, the formula could be adjusted to spend $8 \%$ more for 2003-04.
2. If the funding for the 2003-04 UW WHEG Program increases or decreases, the formula should be adjusted proportionately.
NOTE: With an increase of $8 \%$ or more in funding a request to eliminate the grant maximum in the statutory language may be necessary.

## WTC WHEG

1. If the funding for the 2003-04 WTC WHEG Program increases or decreases, the formula should be adjusted proportionately as well adjusted to compensate for the pool of applicants who were wait listed in 2002-03.

The UW System Administration and the WTC System Board will each forward their recommendations for the 2003-04 WHEG Formulas prior to the February 28th meeting. I will then make recommendations for the 2003-04 WHEG Formulas at the February Meeting.

## TRIBAL WISCONSIN HIGHER EDUCATION GRANT

Introduction
I have included below the 2002-03 Tribal WHEG formula to be used as a reference. As indicated above, the general structure of the WHEG formula is outlined in the State Statutes. The components within may be adjusted to incorporate changes in the cost of education, the Federal Methodology that determines the Expected Family Contribution, the number of applicants that relate to the number of students who enroll, and the available funding.

If the Student Budget is increased, the amount awarded to each student would increase and the number of students eligible would decrease. The number of recipients would also increase if additional funding would be available to support the increase in eligibility.

If the Student Award Percentage is increased, the amount awarded each student would increase and the number of students eligible would decrease. The number of recipients would also increase if additional funding would be available to support the increase in eligibility.

2002-03

## WISCONSIN HIGHER EDUCATION GRANT WISCONSIN TRIBAL COLLEGES

The following formula was implemented in 2002-03 for both dependent and independent students.

| Student Budget | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 5 6 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minus | Expected Family Contribution |
| X Student Award Percentage | $\mathbf{2 7 . 4 4 \%}$ |
| $=$ | Award |

## MAXIMUM AWARD IS $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 8 0 0}$

## Formula Elements Known at This Time

Applicants: The number of 2003-04 Tribal College applicants may increase slightly.
Federal Methodology (which determines the Expected Family Contributions): There will not be any substantial changes in the formulas for 2003-04.

Appropriation: The 2003-04 appropriation for the Tribal WHEG Program appropriation is unknown at this time. Since 2003-04 is the first year of the biennial period, a final appropriation amount may not be known until some time after July 1,2003 . The governor will introduce his budget on February $18^{\text {th }}$. It will then go to the legislature for review and potential changes. Therefore, one of several scenarios may occur for 2003-04.

First Scenario:
Funding would remain level funded.
Tribal WHEG
$\$ 404,000(0 \%$ increase over 2002-03)
Second Scenario:
Funding would increase based on program expansion that would provide more access to needy students.
Tribal WHEG
$\$ 808,000$ ( $100 \%$ increase over 2002-03)
Given the financial constraints the State of Wisconsin currently has, there of course could be other scenarios where funding would drop below the level appropriated in 2002-03. It is unknown at this time if this will occur.

Tuition Increase: The tuition at both Tribal Colleges will either remain the same or increase slightly in 2003-04.

## Points to Consider in Setting the Tribal WHEG Formula

Most recent data indicates that the Tribal WHEG 2002-03 formula will spend the appropriated amount for the academic year and may have students left on a wait list.

The 2003-04 Tribal WHEG Formula
With the preceding information in mind, I put forth the following.
If the funding for the 2003-04 WTC WHEG Program increases or decreases, the formula should be adjusted proportionately, as well as adjusted to compensate for the pool of applicants who were wait listed in 2002-03.

The Tribal Colleges will each forward their recommendations for the 2003-04 Tribal WHEG Formula prior to the February 28th meeting. I will then make a recommendation for the 2003-04 Tribal WHEG Formula at the February Board Meeting.

## WISCONSIN TUITION GRANT

## Introduction

I have included the 2002-03 WTG formula to be used as a reference. The structure of the WTG formula is outlined in the State Statutes. The components within may be adjusted to incorporate changes in the cost of education, the Federal Methodology that determines the Expected Family Contribution, the number of applicants that relate to the number of students who enroll, and the available funding.

If the Inflation Factor (found in step four) were increased, the amount awarded each student would decrease, as would the number of students showing eligibility.

If the tuition charged increases at a higher percentage than the UW Madison tuition, the amount awarded each student would increase, as would the number of students showing eligibility. The number of recipients, however, would decrease if additional funding would not be available to support the increase in eligibility.

If the Base Maintenance increases at a higher percentage than the Net Tuition, the amount awarded each student would increase, as would the number of students showing eligibility. The number of recipients, however, would decrease if additional funding would not be available to support the increase in eligibility.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STEP } \\ & \text { ONE } \end{aligned}$ | 2002-03 WISCONSIN TUITION GRANT FORMULA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DEPENDENT | SINGLE OR <br> MARRIED <br> INDEPENDENT <br> W/O CHILDREN | SINGLE OR <br> MARRIED <br> INDEPENDENT <br> W/ CHILDREN |
|  | TUITION (insert actual tuition charged) | \$6,279 | \$6,279 | \$6,279 |
|  | - UW MADISON TUITION | \$3,890 | \$3,890 | \$3,890 |
|  | NET TUITION | \$2,389 | \$2,389 | \$2,389 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STEP } \\ & \text { TWO } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | TUITION (insert actual tuition charged) | \$6,279 | \$6,279 | \$6,279 |
|  | + BASE MAINTENANCE | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$10,444 |
|  | TOTAL COST | \$12,524 | \$12,524 | \$16,723 |
| STEP |  |  |  |  |
| THREE |  |  |  |  |
|  | NET TUITION | \$2,389 | \$2,389 | \$2,389 |
|  | DIVIDED BY TOTAL COST | \$12,524 | \$12,524 | \$16,723 |
|  | FAMILY CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE | 19.08\% | 19.08\% | 14.29\% |
| STEP |  |  |  |  |
| FOUR |  |  |  |  |
|  | FAMILY CONTRIBUTION (insert actual EFC) | \$1 | \$1 | \$1 |
|  | X INFLATION FACTOR | 204\% | 546\% | 660\% |
|  | ADJUSTED FAMILY CONTRIBUTION | \$204 | \$546 | \$660 |
| STEP |  |  |  |  |
| FIVE |  |  |  |  |
|  | ADJUSTED FAMILY CONTRIBUTION | \$204 | \$546 | \$660 |
|  | X FAMILY CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE | 19.08\% | 19.08\% | 14.29\% |
|  | TUITION OFFSET | \$39 | \$104 | \$94 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STEP } \\ & \text { SIX } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | NET TUITION | \$2,389 | \$2,389 | \$2,389 |
|  | - TUITION OFFSET | \$39 | \$104 | \$94 |
|  | GRANT AMOUNT | \$2,350 | \$2,285 | \$2,295 |
|  | UP T0 MAXIMUM GRANT OF \$2,350 | \$2,350 | \$2,285 | \$2,295 |

Formula Elements Known at This Time
Applicants: The number of 2003-04 applicants is projected to increase.
Federal Methodology (which determines Expected Family Contributions): There will not be any substantial changes in the formulas for 2003-04.

Appropriation: The 2003-04 appropriation for the WTG Program appropriation is unknown at this time. Since 2003-04 is the first year of the biennial period, a final appropriation amount may not be known until some time after July 1, 2003. The governor will introduce his budget on February $18^{\text {th }}$. It will then go to the legislature for review and potential changes. Therefore, one of several scenarios may occur for 200304.

First Scenario:
Funding would remain level funded.
WTG $\$ 22,103,700(0 \%$ increase over 2002-03)
Second Scenario:
Funding would increase per last year's UW tuition increase.
WTG $\$ 23,872,000(8 \%$ increase over 2002-03)
Third Scenario:
Funding would increase based on additional substantial tuition increases for 2003-04.

Fourth Scenario:
Funding would increase based on maintaining the same level of funding as the past.
WTG
Additional \$948,300 (4.29\% increase over 2002-03)
Fifth Scenario:
Funding would increase based on meeting increasing need due to the increase of needy applicants. WTG Additional \$884,200 (4\% increase over 2002-03)

Given the financial constraints the State of Wisconsin currently has, there of course could be other scenarios where funding would drop below the level appropriated in 2002-03. It is unknown at this time if this will occur.

Tuition Increase: The tuition at the Independent Colleges and Universities will increase on the average by approximately five to six percent. The tuition at UW Madison increased eight percent in 2002-03 (which is what is used in the WTG formula).

## Points to Consider in Setting the WTG Formula

*Past practice has been to increase the Base Maintenance at the rate of inflation. The rate of inflation continues to remain stagnant.
*The UW Madison tuition reflected in the formula for 2002-03 is the tuition amount for 2001-02.
*Most recent data indicates that the WTG 2002-03 formula will spend the appropriated amount for the academic year.
*A statutory requirement exists that limits the WTG Program over commitment to $122 \%$.
*The WTG Program no longer has a set maximum award per statute.

The 2002-03 WTG Formula
With the preceding information in mind, I put forth the following.

1. If the funding for the 2003-04 WTG Program increases or decreases, the formula should be adjusted proportionately with consideration given to both adjusting the inflationary factors as well as the maximum grant.
2. There is also a possibility that no increases in funding will occur for next year, which would dictate status quo.

The Wisconsin Association of Independent Colleges and Universities will forward their recommendation for the 2003-04 WTG Formula prior to the February 28th meeting. I will then make a recommendation for the 2003-04 WTG Formula at the February Board Meeting.

# WHEG-UW SYSTEM- FEBRUARY 25,2003 

|  |  | 2001-02 (EXCLUDES C/O OF \$370,659) |  | 2002-03 (INCLUDES C/O OF \$370,659) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UW ALLOCATION: | \$19,380,141 |  | \$22,210,259 |  |
|  |  | 2/26/2002 |  | 2/25/2003 |
| FORMULA: | 3290-EFC |  |  | 3568-EFC |
|  | x 47\% = Award |  | x 47\% = Award |  |
|  | Max Award = \$1,546 |  |  | Max Award = \$1,677 |
| DEPENDENT |  |  |  |  |
| APPLICANTS |  | 56,325 |  | 58,445 |
| \% OF APPLICANTS |  | 77.02\% |  | 77.48\% |
| AWARDS |  | 12,414 |  | 13,713 |
| \% OF AWARDS |  | 54.72\% |  | 56.10\% |
| \% ELIGIBLE |  | 22.04\% |  | 23.46\% |
| AVERAGE \$'s | \$ | 1,081 | \$ | 1,137 |
| \$'s COMMITTED | \$ | 13,420,074 | \$ | 15,587,405 |
|  |  | 51.25\% |  | 51.79\% |
| INDEPENDENT |  |  |  |  |
| APPLICANTS |  | 16,809 |  | 16,986 |
| \% OF APPLICANTS |  | 22.98\% |  | 22.52\% |
| AWARDS |  | 10,272 |  | 10,731 |
| \% OF AWARDS |  | 45.28\% |  | 43.90\% |
| \% ELIGIBLE |  | 61.11\% |  | 63.18\% |
| AVERAGE \$'s | \$ | 1,243 | \$ | 1,352 |
| \$'s COMMITTED | \$ | 12,766,483 | \$ | 14,509,368 |
|  |  | 48.75\% |  | 48.21\% |
| TOTALS |  |  |  |  |
| APPLICANTS |  | 73,134 |  | 75,431 |
| AWARDS |  | 22,686 |  | 24,444 |
| \% ELIGIBLE |  | 31.02\% |  | 32.41\% |
| AVERAGE \$'s | \$ | 1,154 | \$ | 1,231 |
| \$'s COMMITTED | \$ | 26,186,557 | \$ | 30,096,773 |
|  |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |
| COMMITTED |  | 135.12\% |  | 135.51\% |

NOTES:
0203 Appropriation includes $\$ 1,200,000$ approved in the Budget Repair Bill.


[^0]

Sim 1: Baseline simulation using 0102 year end applicant pool with the 0203 formula
Sim 2: Increase student budget by $8 \%$ (equivalent to $8 \% 0203$ tuition increase, excluding segregated fees) and use a $47 \%$ formula multiplier, limiting the award to the statutory maximum of $\$ 1800$. The Department of Administration used an $8 \%$ budget increase for UW WHEG.
Sim 3: Same as Sim 2, except use a $50 \%$ formula multiplier
Sim 4: Increase student budget by $11.5 \%$ (equivalent to the maximum 0203 tuition increase, excluding segregated fees) and use a $47 \%$ formula multiplier
Sim 5: Same as Sim 4, except use a $50 \%$ formula multiplier
Sim 6: Same as Sim 2, except reduce the multiplier to stay within the statutory maximum WHEG award of $\$ 1800$

## Office of the President

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Wisconsin Higher Education Grant (WHEG) formula. UW System's WHEG Advisory committee met to discuss the current WHEG formula and to forward a recommendation for the 2003-04 funding formula for my approval. Based on the Committee's advice, I recommend that the formula for 2003-04 continue to tie the equity level to UW-Madison's tuition for the previous year, increasing the equity level from $\$ 3568$ to $\$ 3854$, and a minimum rationing factor of $47 \%$. This would reaffirm UW System's and the Board of Regents' policy of keeping GPR financial aid increases commensurate with tuition increases.

If additional financial aid dollars become available and the statutorily defined award maximum is eliminated, the rationing factor should be increased resulting in larger awards to the most financially needy students.

Thank you for your consideration of this recommendation. I look forward to hearing your final decision following the meeting of the Higher Educational Aids Board on February 28, 2003.


## WHEG-WTC- FEBRUARY 25,2003

(Data from 1st School on FAFSA)

2001-02<br>(INCLUDES C/B OF 526,863)



NOTES:

1. 0203 Appropriation includes $\$ 800,000$ increase included in the Budget Repair Bill.
2. Effective October 18,2002, "W" and "Z" codes were applied to limit overspending.

[^1]2003-04 WHEG-WTCS SIMULATIONS


Sim 0: Simulation using 0102 year end applicant pool and WHEG-WTC formula with the $\mathrm{W} \& \mathrm{Z}$ codes removed so awards would calculate for all 0102 applicants.
Sims 1-4: Simulations using the $02031 / 28 / 03$ applicant pool with the $W \& Z$ codes removed so awards will calculate for all applicants. The student budget was increased to $\$ 7500$ to reflect a more
accurate budget figure.

2002-2003
TRIBAL WHEG
DOLLARS COMMITTED

|  |  | February 25,2003 ACADEMIC YEAR $2002-2003$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEPENDENTS | APPLICANTS | 142 |
|  | AWARDS | 17 |
|  | \% ELIGIBLE | 11.97\% |
|  | AVERAGE \$'s | \$1,528 |
|  | \$'s COMMITTED | \$25,971 |
|  |  | 6.64\% |
| INDEPENDENTS | APPLICANTS | 633 |
|  | AWARDS | 228 |
|  | \% ELIGIBLE | 36.02\% |
|  | AVERAGE \$'s | \$1,602 |
|  | \$'s COMMITTED | 365,175 |
|  |  | 93.36\% |
| TOTALS | APPLICANTS | 775 |
|  | AWARDS | 245 |
|  | \% ELIGIBLE | 31.61\% |
|  | AVERAGE \$'s | \$1,597 |
|  | \$'s COMMITTED | \$391,146 |
|  |  | 100.00\% |
|  | * OVERAWARD | 96.82\% |
| Student Budget |  | \$6,560 |
| minus EFC |  | minus EFC |
| Times Award Percentage |  | 27.44\% |
| Equals Award |  | Equals Award |
| Max Award |  | \$1,800 |
| Allocation |  | \$404,000 |
|  |  | W CODED 8/30/02 |


| From: | Schuyler Houser [shouser@lco-college.edu] <br> Sent: <br> To: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subject: | jane.hojan-clark@heab.state.wi.us <br> adjustment of state formula |
|  |  |
| Dear Jane, |  |
| Agnes Fleming passed along to me your email requesting our recommendation for a wheg |  |
| formula for the coming fiscal year. We recommend that the formula remain the same as it is |  |
| this year. Thanks for consulting us. |  |
| Schuyler Houser |  |
| President, |  |
| Lac Courte Oreilles Ojibwa Community College |  |

Hojan-Clark, Jane

| From: | Regina Prey [rprey@menominee.edu] |
| :--- | :--- |
| `ent: | Monday, February 17, 2003 1:52 PM |
| O: | jane.hojan-clark@heab.state.wi.us |
| Subject: | Re: 0304 CMN Tribal WHEG Formula Request |

Hello Jane,
I believe that the current formula serves our students well. One thing that i would like to add is that it would be better to have a certain cap amount for students verses the 10 semester maximum. The reason that $I$ say that is as follows:

Suppose a student has a high estimated family contribution and is only eligible for half of the entire amount of 900 that they are eligible for. Then a day comes when they are laid off of work and do not have a job and their 10 semesters have ran out or are close to running out. Sometimes it seems as though we punish students that try to go to work and school.

The other thing is that our schools are growing and we run out of funding for our students way too soon. Please consider our growth when figuring out the cap amounts.

Thank you,

```
Regina Prey
Director of Financial Aid
College of Menominee Nation
Office: 715-799-6226 ext. 3039
Fax: 715-799-1336
```

2002-2003
TUITION GRANT DOLLARS COMMITTED

February 26, 2002 NOTIFICATION LIST
ACADEMIC YEAR February 25, 2003
2001-2002
2002-2003

| DEPENDENTS | APPLICANTS | 11,776 | 11,736 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% OF APPLICANTS | 62.94\% | 63.73\% |
|  | AWARDS | 7,768 | 8,005 |
|  | \% OF AWARDS | 65.94\% | 66.79\% |
|  | \% ELIGIBLE | 65.96\% | 68.21\% |
|  | AVERAGE \$s | \$2,157 | \$2,208 |
|  | \$s COMMITTED | \$16,757,426 | \$17,678,788 |
|  |  | 66.50\% | 67.17\% |
| SINGLE |  |  |  |
| MARRIED | APPLICANTS | 2,719 | 2,591 |
| NO DEPENDENTS | \% OF APPLICANTS | 14.53\% | 14.07\% |
|  | AWARDS | 1,023 | 1,051 |
|  | \% OF AWARDS | 8.68\% | 8.77\% |
|  | \%ELIGIBLE | 37.62\% | 40.56\% |
|  | AVERAGE \$S | \$2,104 | \$2,161 |
|  | \$s COMMITTED | \$2,152,633 | \$2,271,569 |
|  |  | 8.54\% | 8.63\% |
| INDEPENDENT |  |  |  |
| WITH | APPLICANTS | 4,214 | 4,088 |
| DEPENDENTS | \% OF APPLICANTS | 22.52\% | 22.20\% |
|  | AWARDS | 2,990 | 2,929 |
|  | \% OF AWARDS | 25.38\% | 24.44\% |
|  | \%ELIGIBLE | 70.95\% | 71.65\% |
|  | AVERAGE \$S | \$2,103 | \$2,175 |
|  | \$s COMMITTED | \$6,288,291 | \$6,371,065 |
|  |  | 24.96\% | 24.20\% |
| TOTALS | APPLICANTS | 18,709 | 18,415 |
|  | AWARDS | 11,781 | 11,985 |
|  | \%ELIGIBLE | 62.97\% | 65.08\% |
|  | AVERAGE \$S | \$2,139 | \$2,196 |
|  | \$s COMMITTED | \$25,198,350 | \$26,321,422 |
|  |  | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| OVERAWARD |  | 116.85\% | 116.85\% |
| FAFSA Data - school one only. |  |  |  |
|  | STUDENTS | 11,781 | 11,985 |
|  | AVERAGE | \$2,139 | \$2,196 |
| Tuition Grant Factors |  |  |  |
| UW Tuition |  | \$3,735 | \$3,890 |
| Inflation Factors |  |  |  |
| Dependent student |  | 204\% | 204\% |
| Single/married independent |  | 546\% | 546\% |
| Independent with dependents |  | 660\% | 660\% |
| Base Maintenance |  |  |  |
| Dependent/Single |  | \$6,245 | \$6,245 |
| Independent with dependents |  | \$10,444 | \$10,444 |
| MAX AWARD |  | \$2,300 | \$2,300 |


| Wisconsin Tuition Grant | 2001-03 Budget Biennium2002-03 |  |  | 1999-2000 Budget Biennium <br> 2000-01 1999-2000 |  | $\underset{\text { 1998-99 }}{\text { 1997-99 }} \begin{array}{r}\text { Budget Biennium } \\ \text { 1997-98 }\end{array}$ |  | $\underset{\text { 1996-97 Budget Biennium }}{\text { 1995-96 }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BUDGET REP 7/26/20 | PAIR BILL <br> 002 | passed 8/30/01 |  | passed 10/2799 |  | passed 10/11/97 |  | passed 7/26/95 |
| Appropriation | \$ 22,103 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,700.00 \\ & \$ 4 २ 2752 \end{aligned}$ | \$21,564,600 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 21,038,600 \\ \$ 2,254,247 \end{array}$ | \$19,662,200 | \$18,379,000 $\$ 19,680$ | \$17,173,700 | $\$ 16,050,200$ $\$ 170,114$ | \$16,050,200 |
| Funds Available |  | -,526,452 |  | \$23,292,847 |  | \$1,809,680 |  | \$16,220,314 |  |
| Carried back |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$300,000 |  |  |
| Unspent |  |  | \$422,752 | \$111,028 | \$2,254,247 | \$27,395 | \$19,680 | \$8,733 | \$170,114 |
| Refunds |  |  | \$1,051,713 | \$882,522 | \$971,274 |  |  |  |  |
| Final Year End Formula |  |  |  | Changed 6/88/00 | Changed 11/18999 |  | Changed 122197 |  |  |
| INFLATION FACTORS | \$ | 3,890 | \$3,735 | \$3,735 | \$3,405 | \$2,892 | \$2,754 | \$2,623 | \$2,528 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent Student |  | 204\% | 204\% | 145\% | 270\% | 270\% | 270\% | 360\% | 360\% |
| Single/married independent without dependents |  | 546\% | 546\% | 389\% | 725\% | 725\% | 725\% | 1000\% | 1000\% |
| Independent student with dependents |  | 660\% | 660\% | 470\% | 875\% | 875\% | 875\% | 1200\% | 1200\% |
| BASE MAINTENANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent, Single Independent, married without dependents |  | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,005 | \$5,830 | \$5,660 |
| Independent with dependents |  | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,042 | \$9,750 | \$9,466 |
| Max Award |  | \$2,350 | \$2,375 | \$2,300 | \$2,300 | \$2,300 | \$2,172 | \$2,172 | \$2,172 |
| Overaward Max |  | 122\% | 122\% | 122\% | 122\% | 122\% | -122\% | 122\% | 122\% |
| "W" Codes - put into effect |  |  |  | 12/12/00 |  | 10/28/98 | 03/16/98 |  | 10/03/95 |
| "W" Codes - released |  |  |  | 04/30/01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Adjustments |  |  | March 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Committed | \% Committe |  | \% Committed | \% Committed | \% Committed | \% Committed | \% Committed | \% Committed | \% Committed |
| April |  | 71.46\% | 75.18\% | 53.70\% |  | 65.07\% |  | 60.73\% | 75.90\% |
| May |  | 93.03\% | 89.42\% | 71.33\% | 65.07\% | 74.16\% |  | 99.45\% | 86.00\% |
| June |  | 103.50\% | 98.65\% | 102.14\% | 98.65\% | 103.57\% | 88.39\% |  | 109.91\% |
| July |  | 111.47\% | 105.65\% | 104.62\% | 98.82\% | 106.29\% | 96.78\% |  | 117.42\% |
| August |  | 118.24\% | 110.73\% | 119.73\% | 104.06\% | 114.46\% | 112.31\% | 106.70\% | 116.69\% |
| September |  | 115.17\% | 114.97\% | 120.51\% | 110.61\% | 116.47\% | 108.22\% | 111.00\% | 121.25\% |
| October |  | 115.89\% | 113.67\% | 120.26\% | 111.25\% | 118.20\% | 108.98\% | 119.46\% | 119.73\% |
| November |  | 115.57\% | 114.94\% | 122.61\% | 111.34\% | 116.31\% | 109.33\% | 108.39\% | 118.10\% |
| December |  | 117.19\% | 116.14\% | 122.33\% | 108.71\% | 115.26\% | 120.06\% | 108.84\% | 116.25\% |
| January |  | 116.46\% | 117.95\% | 119.77\% | 110.30\% | 115.01\% | 124.00\% | 111.05\% | 109.01\% |
| February |  |  | 116.85\% | 119.87\% | 110.65\% | 113.47\% | 114.01\% | 110.82\% | 102.17\% |
| March |  |  | 122.02\% | 120.74\% | 109.08\% | 113.57\% | 114.06\% | 110.81\% | 107.98\% |
| April |  |  | 122.01\% | 119.71\% | 109.22\% | 113.67\% | 112.56\% |  |  |
| May |  |  | 121.97\% | 120.74\% | 109.50\% | 114.49\% | 112.78\% | 119.15\% |  |
| June |  |  | 121.95\% | 120.86\% | 109.68\% | 114.75\% | 112.23\% |  |  |
| Final |  |  |  | 120.88\% | 122.86\% | 115.47\% no cuts | - 111.67\% | 119.46\% | 102.76\% |
| \% Spent (end of month) | \% Spent (end of month) |  | \% Spent (end of month) | \% Spent (end of month) | \% Spent (end of month) | \% Spent (end of month) | \% Spent (end of month) | \% Spent (end of month) | \% Spent (end of month) |
| August |  | 24.04\% | 22.51\% | 22.99\% | 22.06\% | 26.00\% | 23.53\% |  |  |
| September |  | 36.51\% | 29.34\% | 31.47\% | 29.06\% | 35.10\% | 32.20\% |  |  |
| October |  | 43.03\% | 41.34\% | 40.47\% | 35.98\% | 46.97\% | 24.79\% |  |  |
| November |  | 47.38\% | 45.10\% | 47.51\% | 38.91\% | 51.86\% | 44.19\% |  |  |
| December |  | 60.15\% | 52.97\% | 56.25\% | 51.04\% | 66.84\% | 64.81\% |  |  |
| January |  | 80.99\% | 79.21\% | 78.70\% | 73.46\% | 76.70\% | 73.04\% | 80.02\% |  |
| February |  |  | 86.69\% | 93.69\% | 82.32\% | 95.54\% | 83.88\% | 91.50\% |  |
| March |  |  | 90.23\% | 94.01\% | 80.60\% | 95.84\% | -94.61\% |  |  |
| April |  |  | 96.85\% | 98.39\% | 84.16\% | 99.25\% | -99.67\% | 99.19\% |  |
| May |  |  | 97.38\% | 99.26\% | 83.94\% | 100.07\% | 99.67\% |  |  |
| June |  |  | 98.11\% | 99.66\% | 88.54\% | 100.10\% | 99.96\% |  |  |
| Final |  |  |  | 99.52\% | 88.58\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 98.84\% |  |
|  |  | Original Formula: | Original Formula: | Original Formula: | Original Formula: | Original Formula: | Original Formula: | Original Formula: | Original Formula: |
| UW MADISON TUITION |  | \$3,890 | \$3,735 | \$3,735 | \$3,405 | \$2,892 | \$2,754 | \$2,623 | \$2,538 |
| dependent students |  | 204\% | 204\% | 251\% | 308\% | 270\% | 325\% | 360\% | 360\% |
| SINGLE/MARRIED INDEPENDENT WITHOUT DEPENDENTS |  | 546\% | 546\% | 674\% | 827\% | 725\% | 900\% | 1000\% | 1000\% |
| INDEPENCENT WITH DEPENDENTS |  | 660\% | 660\% | 814\% | 998\% | 875\% | 1080\% | 1200\% | 2000\% |
| dependents, single independent, married w/o dependents |  | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,005 | \$5,830 | \$5,660 |
| INDEPENCENT WITH DEPENDENTS |  | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,042 | \$9,750 | \$9,466 |
| FIRST NOTIFICATION LIST distributed |  | 3/18/02 | 04/10/01 | 04/19/00 | 03/22/99 | 04/13/98 | 03/14/97 | 04/03/96 | 04/21/95 |
| APPLCANTS (End of the year) |  |  | 18,877 | 18,622 | 18,005 | 16,126 | 16,166 | 17,496 | 19,004 |
| NUMBER OF GRANTS AWARDED (Biennial Statements) |  |  | 11,089 | 12,343 | 9,306 | 9,406 | 9,788 | 9,067 | 8,703 |
| AVERAGE TUITION |  | \$15,671 | \$14,967.00 | \$14,026.00 | \$12,779 | \$13,474.00 | \$12,820.00 | \$12,272.00 | \$11,512.00 |

11/17/95 grandfathered non- standard tuition until 98-99
97-98
$\$ 300,000$ carried back from 98-99.
Tribal College in WTG retroactive to beginning of AY
98-99
12/15/99 letter possible reduction of awards between $\$ 0-\$ 95$.
Tribal college students spent 330,000 in $98-99$.
Change in federal income protection.
Schools held to 2nd reconciliation. No cuts occurred
Max award changed from \$2,172-\$2,300
99-00
Year split Tribal-Tg/Tribal Wheg - funds available 1/13/00.
1-02
Award increase to 2375 during second semester -
this done thru formula adjustment not across the board inc.
Budget repair bill signed July 26,2002
02-03
Selective service block in effect for first time-no major impact.
Budget repair bill signed July 26,2002

| 2003-2004 SIMULATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TUITION GRANT |  | Base Sim | Sim A | sim B | SIM B. 1 | SIM B. 2 | SIM B. 3 | SIm C | SIM D |
| DOLLARS COMMITTED |  | 2001-2002 data | 2001-2002 data | 2001-2002 data | 2001-2002 data | 2001-2002 data | 2001-2002 data | 2001-2002 data | 2001-2002 data |
| DEPENDENTS | APplicants | 11,824 | 11,824 | 11,824 | 11,824 | 11,824 | 11,824 | 11,824 | 11,824 |
|  | \% OF APPLICANTS | 61.91\% | 61.91\% | 61.91\% | 61.91\% | 61.91\% | 61.91\% | 61.91\% | 61.91\% |
|  | awards | 7,962 | 8,110 | 7,532 | 7,524 | 7,524 | 7,524 | 7,342 | 5,464 |
|  | \% of Awards | 65.46\% | 65.77\% | 65.14\% | 65.13\% | 65.13\% | 65.13\% | 64.97\% | 61.52\% |
|  | \% ELIGIBLE | 67.34\% | 68.59\% | 63.70\% | 63.63\% | 63.63\% | 63.63\% | 62.09\% | 46.21\% |
|  | average \$s | \$2,230 | \$2,236 | \$2,217 | \$2,217 | \$2,259 | \$2,341 | \$2,211 | \$2,194 |
|  | Ss COMMITtED | \$17,758,429 | \$18,130,691 | \$16,695,209 | \$16,683,920 | \$16,994,866 | \$17,612,495 | \$16,234,989 | \$11,986,034 |
|  |  | 66.01\% | 66.45\% | 65.73\% | 65.68\% | 65.67\% | 65.64\% | 65.47\% | 61.78\% |
| SINGLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| married | APplicants | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 | 2,826 |
| No DEPENDENTS | \% OF APPLICANTS | 14.80\% | 14.80\% | 14.80\% | 14.80\% | 14.80\% | 14.80\% | 14.80\% | 14.80\% |
|  | awards | 1068 | 1078 | 1020 | 1014 | 1014 | 1014 | 984 | 824 |
|  | \% OF AWARDS | 8.78\% | 8.74\% | 8.82\% | 8.78\% | 8.78\% | 8.78\% | 8.71\% | 9.28\% |
|  | \%ELIGIBLE | 37.79\% | 38.15\% | 36.09\% | 35.88\% | 35.88\% | 35.88\% | 34.82\% | 29.16\% |
|  | average ss | \$2,205 | \$2,216 | \$2,182 | \$2,198 | \$2,272,011 | \$2,325 | \$2,215 | \$2,213 |
|  | \$s COMMITTED | \$2,355,456 | \$2,388,643 | \$2,226,035 | \$2,228,965 | \$2,226,035 | \$2,357,684 | \$2,179,426 | \$1,823,698 |
|  |  | 8.76\% | 8.76\% | 8.76\% | 8.77\% | 8.60\% | 8.79\% | 8.79\% | 9.40\% |
| independent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WITH | APplicants | 4,448 | 4,448 | 4,448 | 4,448 | 4,448 | 4,448 | 4,448 | 4,448 |
| dependents | \% OF APPLICANTS | 23.29\% | 23.29\% | 23.29\% | 23.29\% | 23.29\% | 23.29\% | 23.29\% | 23.29\% |
|  | awards | 3,134 | 3,143 | 3,011 | 3,014 | 3,014 | 3,014 | 2,975 | 2,593 |
|  | \% of AWards | 25.76\% | 25.49\% | 26.04\% | 26.09\% | 26.09\% | 26.09\% | 26.33\% | 29.20\% |
|  | \%ELIGIBLE | 70.46\% | 70.66\% | 67.69\% | 67.76\% | 67.76\% | 67.76\% | 66.88\% | 58.30\% |
|  | average ss | \$2,166 | \$2,152 | \$2,152 | \$2,153 | \$2,210 | \$2,277 | \$2,146 | \$2,156 |
|  | \$s Committed | \$6,787,536 | \$6,763,849 | \$6,478,535 | \$6,489,670 | \$6,659,828 | \$6,861,378 | \$6,384,010 | \$5,590,946 |
|  |  | 25.23\% | 24.79\% | 25.51\% | 25.55\% | 25.73\% | 25.57\% | 25.74\% | 28.82\% |
| totals | APplicants | 19,098 | 19,098 | 19,098 | 19,098 | 19,098 | 19,098 | 19,098 | 19,098 |
|  | AWARDS | 12,164 | 12,331 | 11,563 | 11,552 | 11,552 | 11,552 | 11,301 | 8,881 |
|  | \%ELIGIBLE | 63.69\% | 64.57\% | 60.55\% | 60.49\% | 60.49\% | 60.49\% | 59.17\% | 46.50\% |
|  | average ss | \$2,212 | \$2,213 | \$2,197 | \$2,199 | \$2,240 | \$2,323 | \$2,194 | \$2,185 |
|  | \$s Committed | \$26,901,421 | \$27,283,183 | \$25,399,779 | \$25,402,555 | \$25,880,729 | \$26,831,557 | \$24,798,425 | \$19,400,678 |
|  |  | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
| overaward |  | 119.42\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 123.43\% 02.03 sppop. | $114.91 \% 02.03$ appop. | $114.92 \%$ 02-03 appop. | 117.09\% 02.03 appop. | 121.39\% 02.03 approp. | 112.19\% 02.03 appop. | 87.77\% 02.03 appop. |
|  |  | 154.29\% 20\%\% reduction | 143.64\% 20\% reduction | 143.66\% $20 \%$ readcution | 146.36\% 20\% reduction | 151.74\% $20 \%$ readcition | $140.24 \%$ 20\% reduction | 109.71\% 20\% reacuction |
|  |  | $145.21 \%$ 15\% readucion | 135.19\% $15 \%$ readucion | $135.21 \% 15 \%$ readcition | 137.75\% $15 \%$ reducution | 142.81\% 15\% readcition | 131.99\% 15\% reaucion | 103.26\% 15\% reacucion |
|  |  | 137.15\% 10\% readecion | 127.68\% 10\% readucion | 127.69\% 10\% readcicion | 130.10\% 10\% reatution | 134.88\% 10\% readcition | 124.66\% 10\%\%reduction | 97.52\% 10\% reauction |
| FAFSA Data - school one only. |  |  | 129.93\% 5\% reauction | 120.96\% 5\% reduction | 120.97\% 5\% reduction | 123.25\% 5\% reduction | 127.78\% 5\% reduction | 118.10\% 5\% reducion | 92.39\% 5\% readucion |
|  | students |  | 12,164 | 12,331 | 11,563 | 11,552 | 11,552 | 11,552 | 11,301 | 8,881 |
|  | average |  | \$2,212 | \$2,213 | \$2,197 | \$2,199 | \$2,240 | \$2,323 | \$2,194 | \$2,185 |
| Tuition Grant Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UW Tuition |  |  | \$3,890 | \$4,426 | \$4,426 | \$4,426 | \$4,426 | \$4,426 | \$4,426 | \$4,426 |
| Infation Factors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent student |  |  | 204\% | 204\% | 235\% | 235\% | 235\% | 235\% | 245\% | 367\% |
| Single/married independent |  | 546\% | 546\% | 628\% | 628\% | 628\% | 628\% | 655\% | 983\% |
| Independent with dependents |  | 660\% | 660\% | 759\% | 759\% | 759\% | 759\% | 792\% | 1188\% |
| Base Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DependentSingle |  | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 | \$6,245 |
| Independent with dependents |  | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 | \$10,444 |
| max AWARD |  | \$2,350 | \$2,350 | \$2,350 | \$2,350 | \$2,400 | \$2,500 | \$2,350 | \$2,350 |
|  |  |  | \$22,103,700 02.03 appop. | \$22,103,700 02.03 appop. | \$22,103,700 02.03 appop. | \$22,103,700 02.03 appop. | \$22,103,700 22.03 appop. | \$22,103,700 02.03 appop. | \$22,103,700 02.03 appop. |
| APPROPRIATION 02-03 |  | \$22,103,700 | \$17,682,960 20\% reducion | \$17,682,960 20\% reducioion | \$17,682,960 $20 \%$ reducition | \$17,682,960 $20 \%$ reducition | \$17,682,960 $20 \%$ reduction | \$17,682,960 $20 \%$ reduction | \$17,682,960 20\% reaucioion |
| Carry forward |  | \$422,752 | \$18,788, 145 $15 \%$ reduction | \$18,788,145 $15 \%$ meduction | \$18,788,145 $15 \%$ redacicion | \$18,788,145 $15 \%$ meduction | \$18,788,145 15\% readucion | \$18,788,145 $15 \%$ meduction | \$18,788,145 $15 \%$ reduction |
| Net Funds |  | \$22,526,452 | \$19,893,330 10\% reducion | \$19,893,330 10\%\%redution | \$19,893,330 $10 \%$ redaction | \$19,893,330 10\%\%reduction | \$19,893,330 $10 \%$ reduction | \$19,893,330 10\%\%reduction | \$19,893,330 10\%\%radution |
|  |  |  | \$20,998,515 5\% reauction | \$20,998,515 5\%reduction | \$20,998,515 5\% reduction | \$20,998,515 5\% reduction | \$20,998,515 5\% readution | \$20,998,515 5\% reauction | \$20,998,515 5\% reduction |

Base sim: 01-02 Applicants, $02-03$ Formula
Sim A: 01-02 Applicants, $02-03$ inflation factors, increase in school tuitions by $5.3 \%$ and increase in UW Madison Tuition.
Sim B: $01-02$ Applicants, $15 \%$ increase of inflation factors and increase of school tuitions by $5.5 \%$ and increase of UW Madison Tuition.
Sim B.2: $01-02$ Applicants, $15 \%$ increase of inflation factors and actualestimates of school tuitions and increase of UW Madison Tuition increase max award to 2400 .
Sim B.3: $01-02$ Applicants, $15 \%$ increase of inflation factors and actualestimates of school tuitions and increase of UW Madison Tuition increase max award to 2500 .
Sim C: $01-02$ Applicants, $20 \%$ increase of inflation factors and increase of school tuitions by $5.3 \%$ and increase of UW Madison Tuition.

February 24, 2003
Ms. Jane Hojan-Clark, Executive Secretary
Higher Educational Aids Board
131 W. Wilson Street, Suite 902
Madison, WI 53702
Dear Ms. Hoj Clark:
As required by state statute, I am transmitting to you WAICU's recommendations for changes in the Wisconsin Tuition Grant (WTG) formula for the 2003-2004 academic year. I understand the recommendation will be considered by the Higher Educational Aids Board (HEAB) at its meeting on February 28, 2003.

Based on discussions with your staff and the WAICU Financial Aid Directors, it is WAICU's recommendation that HEAB update the formula to reflect the factors in "SIM B.3" on the enclosed spreadsheet developed by your staff. This simulation updates the UW tuition component of the formula, as required by law, increases the inflation factors from last year's formula, and increases the maximum award amount to $\$ 2,500$.

Making these changes will increase the average award for students in all categories, achieving the objective of making the Tuition Grant a more meaningful part of the financial aid package for those students with the greatest need. These projections assume that the current appropriation of $\$ 22,103,700$ for fiscal year 20032004 remains unchanged. Given the state fiscal picture, if the legislature adopts changes in the funding level, WAICU is prepared to discuss revising other factors in the formula.

I want to thank you again for meeting with me and the WAICU Financial Aid Directors to discuss the WTG formula for 2003-2004. Should you have questions or concerns regarding the WAICU recommendations, please contact either me or Mr. Paul Nelson, WAICU Vice President for Public Policy.

Best wishes...


President
Enclosure
c: WAICU Presidents
WAICU Financial Aid Directors


[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ The independent formula change was needed to spend the increased appropriation within the academic year (late budget passage).
    ${ }^{(2)}$ March, 1999 - Supplemental/summer awards were approved; the WHEG surplus was prorated to each UW school based on previous year spent figures.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ The independent formula change was needed to spend the increased appropriation within the academic year (late budget passage.)
    ${ }^{(4)}$ 00-01 figures increased due to a computer problem with "size of household" that was corrected mid-August.
    ${ }^{(5)}$ March, 2001 - Supplemental/summer awards were approved; the surplus WHEG funds were prorated to each UW school based on previous
    year spent figures. This was the first year a single formula was used for both dependent and independent students.
    ${ }^{(6)}$ An additional $\$ 1,200,000$ WHEG-UW was appropriated for the 0203 academic year under the Budget Repair Bill

[^1]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ December, 1997 - a $\$ 90$ across the board increase for each spring semester student was used to spend the increased 9798 appropriation within the academic year (late budget passage)
    ${ }^{(2)}$ August, 2000 - resolved a computer error regarding "size of household" that resulted in increased 0001 WHEG-WTC commitments
    ${ }^{(3)}$ March, 2001 - a $\$ 65$ across the board increase for each spring semester student was awarded to maximize 0001 spending
    ${ }^{(4)}$ January 23, 2002 additional WHEG-WTC commitments were stopped (W codes) and awards were frozen ( $Z$ codes) to limit 0102 overspending
    ${ }^{(5)}$ February, 2002 - carryback of 0203 WHEG-WTC appropriation resulted in a decreased 0102 committed figure
    ${ }^{(6)}$ October 18, 2002 additional WHEG-WTC commitments were stopped (W codes) and awards were frozen ( $Z$ codes) to limit 0203 overspending

